Neural networks

Training neural networks - backpropagation algorithm

MACHINE LEARNING

Topics: stochastic gradient descent (SGD)

- Algorithm that performs updates after each example
  - initialize $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ($\boldsymbol{\theta} \equiv \{\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{W}^{(L+1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(L+1)}\}$)
  - for N iterations
    - for each training example $(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}, y^{(t)})$
      - ✓ $\Delta = -\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} l(f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}), y^{(t)}) - \lambda \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$
      - ✓ $\boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} + \alpha \, \Delta$

training epoch = iteration over **all** examples

- To apply this algorithm to neural network training, we need
  - the loss function $l(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}), y^{(t)})$
  - a procedure to compute the parameter gradients $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} l(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}), y^{(t)})$
  - the regularizer $\Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ (and the gradient $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$)
  - initialization method

BACKPROPAGATION

Topics: backpropagation algorithm

- This assumes a forward propagation has been made before
  - compute output gradient (before activation)

    $$\nabla_{\mathbf{a}^{(L+1)}(\mathbf{x})} - \log f(\mathbf{x})_y \iff -(\mathbf{e}(y) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))$$

  - for k from $L+1$ to 1
    - compute gradients of hidden layer parameter

      $$\nabla_{\mathbf{W}^{(k)}} - \log f(\mathbf{x})_y \iff \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{a}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})} - \log f(\mathbf{x})_y\right) \; \mathbf{h}^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{x})^\top$$

      $$\nabla_{\mathbf{b}^{(k)}} - \log f(\mathbf{x})_y \iff \nabla_{\mathbf{a}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})} - \log f(\mathbf{x})_y$$

    - compute gradient of hidden layer below

      $$\nabla_{\mathbf{h}^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{x})} - \log f(\mathbf{x})_y \iff \mathbf{W}^{(k)^\top} \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{a}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})} - \log f(\mathbf{x})_y\right)$$

    - compute gradient of hidden layer below (before activation)

      $$\nabla_{\mathbf{a}^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{x})} - \log f(\mathbf{x})_y \iff \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{h}^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{x})} - \log f(\mathbf{x})_y\right) \odot [\ldots, g'(a^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{x})_j), \ldots]$$

FLOW GRAPH

Topics: flow graph

- Forward propagation can be represented as an acyclic flow graph
- It's a nice way of implementing forward propagation in a modular way
  - each box could be an object with an fprop method, that computes the value of the box given its children
  - calling the fprop method of each box in the right order yield forward propagation



FLOW GRAPH

Topics: automatic differentiation

- Each object also has a bprop method
  - it computes the gradient of the loss with respect to each children
  - fprop depends on the fprop of a box's children, while bprop depends the bprop of a box's parents
- By calling bprop in the reverse order, we get backpropagation
  - only need to reach the parameters



FLOW GRAPH

Topics: automatic differentiation

- Each object also has a bprop method
  - it computes the gradient of the loss with respect to each children
  - fprop depends on the fprop of a box's children, while bprop depends the bprop of a box's parents
- By calling bprop in the reverse order, we get backpropagation
  - only need to reach the parameters



FLOW GRAPH

Topics: automatic differentiation

- Each object also has a bprop method
  - it computes the gradient of the loss with respect to each children
  - fprop depends on the fprop of a box's children, while bprop depends the bprop of a box's parents
- By calling bprop in the reverse order, we get backpropagation
  - only need to reach the parameters



FLOW GRAPH

Topics: automatic differentiation

- Each object also has a bprop method
  - it computes the gradient of the loss with respect to each children
  - fprop depends on the fprop of a box's children, while bprop depends the bprop of a box's parents
- By calling bprop in the reverse order, we get backpropagation
  - only need to reach the parameters



FLOW GRAPH

Topics: automatic differentiation

- Each object also has a bprop method
  - it computes the gradient of the loss with respect to each children
  - fprop depends on the fprop of a box's children, while bprop depends the bprop of a box's parents
- By calling bprop in the reverse order, we get backpropagation
  - only need to reach the parameters



FLOW GRAPH

Topics: automatic differentiation

- Each object also has a bprop method
  - it computes the gradient of the loss with respect to each children
  - fprop depends on the fprop of a box's children, while bprop depends the bprop of a box's parents
- By calling bprop in the reverse order, we get backpropagation
  - only need to reach the parameters



FLOW GRAPH

Topics: automatic differentiation

- Each object also has a bprop method
  - it computes the gradient of the loss with respect to each children
  - fprop depends on the fprop of a box's children, while bprop depends the bprop of a box's parents
- By calling bprop in the reverse order, we get backpropagation
  - only need to reach the parameters



FLOW GRAPH

Topics: automatic differentiation

- Each object also has a bprop method
  - it computes the gradient of the loss with respect to each children
  - fprop depends on the fprop of a box's children, while bprop depends the bprop of a box's parents
- By calling bprop in the reverse order, we get backpropagation
  - only need to reach the parameters



FLOW GRAPH

Topics: automatic differentiation

- Each object also has a bprop method
  - it computes the gradient of the loss with respect to each children
  - fprop depends on the fprop of a box's children, while bprop depends the bprop of a box's parents
- By calling bprop in the reverse order, we get backpropagation
  - only need to reach the parameters



GRADIENT CHECKING

Topics: finite difference approximation

- To debug your implementation of fprop/bprop, you can compare with a finite-difference approximation of the gradient

$$\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} \approx \frac{f(x+\epsilon)-f(x-\epsilon)}{2\epsilon}$$

  - $f(x)$ would be the loss
  - x would be a parameter
  - $f(x+\epsilon)$ would be the loss if you add ϵ to the parameter
  - $f(x-\epsilon)$ would be the loss if you subtract ϵ to the parameter